# MA106 Linear Algebra 2022

Tutorial 6 D1-T4

#### Kartik Gokhale

Department of Computer Science & Engineering IIT Bombay

2022

A matrix is said to be nilpotent if  $A^k = 0$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{N}$ .

- Show that is A is nilpotent, I-A is invertible
- What can you say about the eigenvalues of A? What is the characteristic equation of a nilpotent matrix?
- Given matrices are all nilpotent. Find geometric multiplicities of eigenvalues in each case

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 Product of commuting nilpotent matrices is nilpotent. Also show that the result fails if they do not commute.

## Problem 1(i)

We need to find a clever guess for the inverse. Consider

$$B = \left(I + A + A^2 \cdots A^{k-1}\right)$$

It can be seen that (I - A)B = I and thus, A - I is invertible.

3 / 23

## Problem 1(ii)

$$Ax = \lambda x \implies A^k x = 0 = \lambda^k x \implies \lambda = 0$$

All eigenvalues are 0



## Problem 1(iii)

The second matrix is not nilpotent, the other 2 have geometric multiplicities 1 and 2 respectively.

## Problem 1(iv)

Consider

$$A^m = 0, B^n = 0, AB = BA$$

WLOG, n < m,

Thus

$$(AB)^n = 0$$

and thus, nilpotent Evident counter example when n>m and matrices do not commute

A matrix is said to be idempotent or a projection if  $P^2 = P$ 

- Show that if P has this property so does I-P
- What can you say about the eigenvalues of P?
- If P is invertible then P=I
- Suppose P is non invertible and  $v_1, v_2...v_k$  is a bsis for null space of P. Complete it to a basis  $v_1, v_2...v_k, v_{k+1},...v_n$ . Prove or disprove  $Pv_k, Pv_{k+1},...Pv_n$  are linearly independent. Can you deduce from this that P is diagonalisable

## Problem 2(i)

$$(I-P)^2 = I-2P+P^2 = I-2P+P = I-P$$

## Problem 2(ii)

$$Px = \lambda x$$

$$P^{2}x = Px\lambda x$$

$$\lambda = \lambda^{2} \implies \lambda = 0, 1$$

## Problem 2(iii)

$$P^{-1}P = I$$

$$P^{2} = P$$

$$P^{-1}P^{2} = I$$

$$P^{-1}P \times P = I$$

$$P = I$$

## Problem 2(iii)

$$P^{-1}P = I$$

$$P^{2} = P$$

$$P^{-1}P^{2} = I$$

$$P^{-1}P \times P = I$$

$$P = I$$

## Problem 2(iv)

Suppose

$$c_{k+1}Pv_{k+1}...c_nPv_n=0$$

$$P(c_{k+1}v_{k+1}...c_nv_n)=0$$

So  $c_{k+1}v_{k+1}...c_nv_n$  lies in null space of P

$$c_{k+1}v_{k+1}...c_nv_n = a_1v_1 + ...a_nv_n$$

But basis vectors are independent!

Contradiction



Consider the matrix of relection and that of projection, as discussed in Tutorial 0

$$H = I - 2nn^T, H_0 = I - nn^T$$

Find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H and  $H_0$ . Are they diagonalizable? Give reasons. Is  $H_0$  idempotent? Try this out in 2 different ways.

#### For H:

We obtain eigenvalue -1 with eigenvector n and eigenvalue +1 with eigenspace as the vector subspace perpendicular to n. This makes H diagonalisable.

For  $H_0$ 

We obtain eigenvalue 0 for eigenvector n and eigenvalue +1 with eigenspace as the vector subspace perpendicular to n. This makes H diagonalisable. Both are diagonalisable(geometric multiplicity equals algebraic for each eigenvalue) and  $H_0$  is idempotent as  $H_0^2 = H_0$ 

Are the following matrices similar? Why?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 10^5 & 10^9 \\ 0 & 1 & \pi \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ e & 2 & 0 \\ 10^3 & 10^{10} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Same eigenvalues and both are diagonalisable. Diagonalising both gives diag(2,1,3) and diag(3,2,1) respectively Thus,

$$\exists T \ s.t. \ T^{-1}AT = B$$

Now suppose its given that determinant of A is one.

We know that the product of all eigenvalues is nothing but the determinant of the matrix. Since our characteristic polynomial is a three dgree one with real coefficients we get two possible cases

Case 1 : All roots real

Suppose none of the roots are 1, Then the product would be

$$(-1)^3 = (-1) \neq det(A) = 1$$
 Contradiction

Case 2 : One real root and two complex conjugate roots Let the real root be  $\alpha$  and complex roots be  $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ , we have

$$lphaetaar{eta}=1$$

Hence Proved



What can you say about the eigenvalues of a skey symmetric matrix? Is a skew-symmetric matrix diagonalisable?

$$MX = \lambda x$$
 $\bar{M} = M$ 
 $M\bar{x} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{x}$ 

Taking transpose and post multiplying by  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

$$(M\bar{x})^T x = (\bar{\lambda}\bar{x})^T x$$

Simplifying the above

$$\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$$



Diagonalisability depends upon the diagonalisability of the corresponding Hermitian matrix

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a function such that f(0) = 0 and ||f(x) - f(y)|| = ||x - y||. Is it true that f(x) = Ax for some  $3 \times 3$  matrix A? What kind of matrix is A?

Utilising

$$||Ax|| = x^T A^T A X$$

We can conclude that

$$A^T A = I$$

#### Conclusion

# Thank you!